

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,988	12/31/2003	Duane L. McDonald	17,858.3	9975
23556 7590 09/19/2007 KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC.			ЕХАМ	EXAMINER BUI, LUAN KIM ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3728  AIL DATE DELIVERY MODE
Catherine E. W				AN KIM
NEENAH, WI 54956			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ		3728		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/749,988	MCDONALD, DUANE L.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Luan K. Bui	3728		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address		
A SH WHI	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D	DATE OF THIS COMMUN	ICATION.		
- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statul reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailingled patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 A</u>	<u> August 2007</u> .			
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.			
3)	,—				
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1 and 3-26 is/are pending in the app	lication.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 and 15 is/are with	drawn from consideration.			
· —	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
·	Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7-14,16-26</u> is/are rejected.				
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	or election requirement			
ا_ا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers	·			
, —	The specification is objected to by the Examin				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	_			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
· ·	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		n received in this National Stage		
*	application from the International Bures		at received		
~ ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies no	it received.		
Attachme	nt(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		o(s)/Mail Date  Informal Patent Application		
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:			

Art Unit: 3728

After further review of the instant specification, claims 1, 3-5, 7-14 and 16-26 read on the elected embodiment of Figure 11B. The Examiner is hereby withdrawn the Office Action mailed on 5/14/2007 in favor of reopening prosecution.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Denny (3,403,776). Denny discloses a package (1) enclosing a single disposable absorbent article (2) comprising a first piece of material (4) and a second piece of material (6). The first piece of material and the second piece of material are operatively associated with one another to enclose the absorbent article and the operative association defining a seal (7). At least a portion of the first piece of material and at least a portion of the second piece of material extend beyond the seal to deliver an opening element. Denny further discloses the first piece of material formed from an air pervious paper and the second piece of material formed from a polypropylene film which is different rigidity than the first piece of material.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3728

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 4. 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Whitefoot, Jr. (2,676,702). Whitefoot discloses a package (15) in the embodiment of Figure 3 enclosing at least a single disposable absorbent article (16) comprising a first piece of material and a second piece of material. The first piece of material and the second piece of material are operatively associated with one another to enclose the absorbent article and the operative association defining a seal (18). The seal defined at least one lateral edge and at least one longitudinal edge, and at least a portion of the first piece of material and at least a portion of the second piece of material extend beyond the seal to deliver an opening tab (15e, 15f). The portion of the opening tab extends beyond at least one lateral edge of the seal and a portion of the opening tab extends beyond at least one longitudinal edge of the seal (the seal is spaced within the lateral edges and longitudinal edges of the package). To the extent that Whitefoot discloses a plurality of absorbent articles in lieu of a single absorbent article, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the package of Whitefoot so it comprises a single absorbent article to reduce the size of the package.
- 5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Denny (3,403,776) in view of Whitefoot (2,676,702). Denny discloses the package (1) enclosing a single disposable absorbent article (2) as above having all the limitation of the claim except for a portion of the

Art Unit: 3728

opening element being extended beyond at least one lateral edge of the seal and a portion of the opening element extends beyond at least one longitudinal edge of the seal.

Whitefoot shows a package (15) in the embodiment of Figure 3 enclosing at least a single disposable absorbent article (16) comprising a first piece of material and a second piece of material. The first piece of material and the second piece of material are operatively associated with one another to enclose the absorbent article and the operative association defining a seal (18). The seal defined at least one lateral edge and at least one longitudinal edge, and at least a portion of the first piece of material and at least a portion of the second piece of material extend beyond the seal to deliver an opening tab (15e, 15f). The portion of the opening tab extends beyond at least one lateral edge of the seal and a portion of the opening tab extends beyond at least one longitudinal edge of the seal (the seal is spaced within the lateral edges and longitudinal edges of the package). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Whitefoot to modify the package of Denny so it includes a portion of the opening element extends beyond at least one lateral edge of the seal and a portion of the opening element extends beyond at least one longitudinal edge of the seal and a portion of the opening element extends beyond at least one longitudinal edge of the seal to facilitate opening the package.

6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Denny (3,403,776) in view of The Japanese Patent No. 10-95481 to Narawa (hereinafter Narawa). Denny discloses the package (1) as above having all the limitation of the claim except for the package being vacuum packed.

Narawa shows a package (1) enclosing a single disposable absorbent article (2) comprising a vacuum packed. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of

Art Unit: 3728

Narawa to modify the package of Denny so the package is vacuum packed for better protecting

the article and also reduce the size of the package.

7. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Denny (3,403,776)

in view Frank-Farah et al. (6,640,976; hereinafter Frank-Farah'976). Denny discloses the

package (1) as above having all the limitations of the claim except for the opening element being

coded to indicate the size or type of the article.

Frank-Farah'976 shows a package (10) for articles comprising color coded on the articles and

instructions (34, column 5, lines 1-7). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in

the art in view of Frank-Farah'976 to modify the package of Denny so the opening element

includes coded to indicate the size or type of the article to provide more convenience for the user.

8. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 10-14 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Weinberger (3,286,435) in view of Denny (3,403,776) or Anderson (4,896,768).

Weinberger discloses a package (20) enclosing a single disposable absorbent article (21)

comprising a first piece of material and a second piece of material. Weinberger also discloses

that it is old and conventional to fold the absorbent article into a plurality of folds by folding the

same in half, then quarters, then eights, sixteenths and so on until the desired small, compact size

is reached so that the same may be enclosed in a relatively small flat package (column 1, lines

22-30) which is considered equivalent to a ratio of the folded configuration to the unfolded

configuration of less than 0.14 or no more than 0.09 as claimed. Weinberger also discloses the

Art Unit: 3728

other claimed limitations except for the first piece of material and the second piece of material being different rigidities.

Denny shows a package (1) enclosing a single disposable absorbent article (2) comprising a first piece of material (4), a second piece of material (6) and the first piece of material and the second piece of material having different rigidities. Anderson teaches a package (10) enclosing a single disposable absorbent article (14) comprising a first piece of material (12, formed from two layers), a second piece of material (16, single layer) and the first piece of material and the second piece of material having different rigidities. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Denny or Anderson to modify the package of Weinberger so the package comprises the first piece of material and the second piece of material having different rigidities to provide an additional strength and rigidity to the package.

As to claim 11, Weinberger discloses the package with the ration as claimed. To the extent that Weinberger fails to provide an opening element, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in view of Denny or Anderson to modify the package of Weinberger so it includes an opening element defined by a portion of the layer of material extends beyond at least one lateral seal to facilitate opening the package.

9. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Whitefoot (2,676,702). The package of Weinberger as modified further fails to show a portion of the opening element being extended beyond at least one lateral edge of the seal and a portion of the opening element extends beyond at least one longitudinal edge of the seal.

Art Unit: 3728

Whitefoot shows a package (15) in the embodiment of Figure 3 enclosing at least a single disposable absorbent article (16) comprising a first piece of material and a second piece of material. The first piece of material and the second piece of material are operatively associated with one another to enclose the absorbent article and the operative association defining a seal (18). The seal defined at least one lateral edge and at least one longitudinal edge, and at least a portion of the first piece of material and at least a portion of the second piece of material extend beyond the seal to deliver an opening tab (15e, 15f). The portion of the opening tab extends beyond at least one lateral edge of the seal and a portion of the opening tab extends beyond at least one longitudinal edge of the seal (the seal is spaced within the lateral edges and longitudinal edges of the package). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Whitefoot to modify the package of Weinberger as modified so it includes a portion of the opening element extends beyond at least one lateral edge of the seal and a portion of the opening element extends beyond at least one longitudinal edge of the seal to facilitate opening the package.

10. Claims 8 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 1 and 11 above, and further in view The Japanese Patent No. 10-95481 to Narawa (hereinafter Narawa). The package of Weinberger as modified further fails to show the package being vacuum packed.

Narawa shows a package (1) enclosing a single disposable absorbent article (2) comprising a vacuum packed. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of

Application/Control Number: 10/749,988 Page 8

Art Unit: 3728

Narawa to modify the package of Weinberger as modified so the package is vacuum packed for better protecting the article and also reduce the size of the package.

- 11. Claims 9, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 1 and 11 above, and further in view of Frank-Farah et al. (6,640,976; hereinafter Frank-Farah'976). The package of Weinberger as modified further fails to show the opening element being coded to indicate the size or type of the article. Frank-Farah'976 shows a package (10) for articles comprising color coded on the articles and instructions (34, column 5, lines 1-7). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Frank-Farah'976 to modify the package of Denny so the opening element includes coded to indicate the size or type of the article to provide more convenience for the user.
- 12. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 17 above, and further in view of The Official Notice. The package of Weinberger as modified further fails to show the coded being tactilely coded.

  The Official Notice is taken of the old conventional practice of providing tactilely coded for helping the visually handicapped user. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of The Official Notice to modify the package of Weinberger as modified so the coded comprises tactilely coded to provide more convenience for the visually handicapped user
- 13. Claims 21 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weinberger (3,286,435) in view of Whitefoot (2,676,702). Weinberger discloses the package as

Art Unit: 3728

above having all the limitations of the claims except for an opening tab and a portion of the opening tab being extended beyond at least one lateral edge of the seal and a portion of the opening tab being extended beyond at least one longitudinal edge of the seal.

Whitefoot shows a package (15) in the embodiment of Figure 3 enclosing at least a single disposable absorbent article (16) comprising a first piece of material and a second piece of material. The first piece of material and the second piece of material are operatively associated with one another to enclose the absorbent article and the operative association defining a seal (18). The seal defined at least one lateral edge and at least one longitudinal edge, and at least a portion of the first piece of material and at least a portion of the second piece of material extend beyond the seal to deliver an opening tab (15e, 15f). The portion of the opening tab extends beyond at least one lateral edge of the seal and a portion of the opening tab extends beyond at least one longitudinal edge of the seal (the seal is spaced within the lateral edges and longitudinal edges of the package). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view

14. Claims 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 21 above, and further in view of Denny (3,403,776) or Anderson (4,896,768). The package of Weinberger as modified further fails to show the first piece of material and the second piece of material comprises different rigidities.

of Whitefoot to modify the package of Weinberger so it includes an opening tab and a portion of

the opening tab extends beyond at least one lateral edge of the seal and a portion of the opening

tab extends beyond at least one longitudinal edge of the seal to facilitate opening the package.

Art Unit: 3728

Denny shows a package (1) enclosing a single disposable absorbent article (2) comprising a first piece of material (4), a second piece of material (6) and the first piece of material and the second piece of material having different rigidities. Anderson teaches a package (10) enclosing a single disposable absorbent article (14) comprising a first piece of material (12, formed from two layers), a second piece of material (16, single layer) and the first piece of material and the second piece of material having different rigidities. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Denny or Anderson to modify the package of Weinberger as modified so the package comprises the first piece of material and the second piece of material having different rigidities to provide an additional strength and rigidity to the package.

15. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 21 above, and further in view The Japanese Patent No. 10-95481 to Narawa (hereinafter Narawa). The package of Weinberger as modified further fails to show the package being vacuum packed.

Narawa shows a package (1) enclosing a single disposable absorbent article (2) comprising a vacuum packed. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Narawa to modify the package of Weinberger as modified so the package is vacuum packed for better protecting the article and also reduce the size of the package.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to 8/7/2007 have been considered but are deemed to be most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Art Unit: 3728

## Conclusion .

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4552. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

lkb September 16, 2007 /Luan K. Bui/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728